

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/585,544	Applicant(s) MASUMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner Hien D. Vu	Art Unit 2839	

All Participants:

(1) Mr. Hien D. Vu.

(2) Mr. Frederick Dorchak.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
103 rejections

Claims discussed:
1 and 6-9

Prior art documents discussed:
Tanaka

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed and agreed upon changes to clarify the claimed invention of claim 1 to more clearly set forth the intended concept of the invention and to further define over the prior art of record, to cancel claims 6,7 and 9 thereby to place the case in condition for allowance.